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Care Homes

• Care homes (nursing)
• Care homes (personal care)

Cross boundaries with respect to:
• Focus of care (nursing and/or personal care)
• Funding (health, social care, personal, family)
• Service providers (private, not-for-profit and public sector)
• Regulation (social care led, health care provided)
Care Home Population

Changing profile of people entering care homes

- Multiple disease processes common (Bowman et al, 2004)
- 62% residents with dementia (Matthews & Dening 2002)
- Challenges concerning communication and knowing people’s views
- Increasingly frail and dependent population
Living and dying in care homes

**Living**
- 5% people, over 65 years old, live in care homes (del Bono et al. 2007)
- 410,000 older people lived in a care home (OFT 2005)

**Dying**
- Approximately 20% of people, over 65 years old, die in care homes (Davies and Seymour 2002)
- On average over 50% residents will die within 2yrs of admission (Katz & Peace 2003; Hockley et al. 2004)
Resources

Staffing

- Difficulties of retention & recruitment (Redfern et al 2002)
- Much care provided by untrained carers
- Increasing accounts of cultural challenges
- Difficulties in accessing education (Katz & Peace 2003, Hall et al 2002)

Varied relationships with wider health care provision

- Primary care
- Specialist palliative care
UK Policy Background

- Current emphasis on expressing and respecting choice in policy & service provision.
  - Assumes competency unless proved otherwise
  - Addresses anticipated lack of competency to express views
- Nomination of consultees
  - Lasting Power of Attorney
  - Independent Mental Capacity Advocates
- Legislates for ACP through:
  - advanced decision to refuse treatment
  - statement of wishes and preferences
In summary:

• Complex setting with regard to:
  – population characteristics and needs
  – models of care provision
  – wider societal dynamics (social, legal, ethical, financial)
Irish Context

- Two main groups of services - public and private
  - Health board geriatric homes/hospitals
  - Health board welfare homes
  - Health board district/community hospitals
  - Voluntary geriatric homes/hospitals
  - Private nursing homes
- Approx 30,000 beds across the sector
- 18,883 registered private and voluntary nursing home beds in Ireland (end 2007)

- Approximately 40% > 65 yrs die in nursing homes and public long-stay care facilities in Ireland (O’Shea et al 2008)

Advance Care Planning

• Process of discussion
  – between an individual and their care providers
  – when there is anticipated deterioration of an individual’s condition
  – Resulting in reduced and or lack of capacity to make decisions and/or ability to communicate wishes

• Could include:
  – concerns
  – values or personal goals for care
  – understanding about illness and prognosis,
  – types of care or treatment

Aims of the Study

To identify:

- how care home residents are consulted about general care issues
- the extent to which ACP is undertaken
- which ACP ‘tools’ or processes in use
- level of staff training relevant to ACP
- managers' views about consultation and ACP
- elements of good practice in ACP in care homes (Good Practice Guide)
Methods

- Mixed methods descriptive study

- **Phase 1**: Postal questionnaire of 500 care home managers (42% response rate)

- **Phase 2**: Semi-structured interviews with 15 care home managers
Findings

- Advance Care Planning
  - extent
  - processes adopted
- Factors influencing ACP use in care homes
Perspectives on consultation

• Managers reported high levels of consultation practices with residents (>93%) and relatives (>95%) about general care issues

• 90% managers (n=189) recommended use of ACP within the care home.

• Varied by type of approach used
Type of process recommended
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- Advance Care Planning: 63%
- Statement of Wishes & Preferences: 77%
- Advance Decision: 55%
- Lasting Power of Attorney: 61%

Type of process
Type of process recommended by managers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of process</th>
<th>Managers recommending (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advance Care Planning</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of Wishes &amp; Preferences</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance Decision</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasting Power of Attorney</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Residents completed ACP

- 38% in 0-25% range
- 8% in 26-50% range
- 4% in 51-75% range
- 22% in 76-100% range

Residents that have completed ACP
Use of End of Life Tools

• Three tools recommended by English End of Life Programme:
  – Liverpool Care Pathway,
  – Gold Standards Framework,
  – Preferred Priorities of Care

• 47% (n=101) managers reported use of one of the end of life tools

• LCP most frequently cited, by 34% managers (n=73).
In summary:

• Care home managers recognise importance of ACP
• Extent to which aspects of ACP being undertaken varies
Understanding the context

- Ascertaining wishes
- Implementing wishes
Factors Influencing the Ascertaining and Implementation of ACP

% managers

Factors

- Resident
- Family
- Staff
- Structural
- Communication
- Legal
- Moral
- External

Ascertaining %
Implementing %
Ascertaining wishes

Internal Resources
- Time & Timing
- Environment
- Documentation

Nature of Topic

Resident
- Ability Willingness

Family
- Availability Willingness Knowledge

Staff
- Knowledge Confidence Comfort

Communication
- Trust
- Timing
Resident ability

“We’re not actually dementia registered, although we have got some that … are unable, a lot of them, to make that actual decision.” (Manager 30)

Staff

“I think there’s a lot of staff that do feel uncomfortable around issue of death. Some are frightened of the actual concept really and some are frightened of the families, of broaching the idea.” (Manager 145)
Implementing Wishes

Internal Resources
- Time
- Equipment

External Resources
- GPs
- Hospital admissions

Resident (Ability)

Family
- Dynamics

Staff
- Personal skills
- Knowledge
- Time

Legal/Moral

Shared understanding
“I think the challenges that we’ve had, is not so much with relatives and residents; it’s been more with the primary health care. And because what we found was it was very dependent on which surgery some of you belong to as the amount of support you get. You almost dread it when it is one surgery.”
(Manager 68)
Key Principles of ACP

- Individually tailored
  - Resident led
  - Issues addressed
  - How communication undertaken

- Inclusive participation
  - Family involvement
  - Different grades of staff
  - External staff (GPs, DNs)
  - Subject to resident agreement

- Integrated processes
  - With ongoing assessment of needs
  - Within current documentation
Good Practice Guide - Contents

- Place of ACP in care homes
- Legal context for ACP
- How to introduce ACP in your care home
- How an ACP can be written
- Who can help with this process
- What skills do staff need
- Where else to find help and information
Issues for further consideration

- Who is responsible for what in ACP?
  - Ascertaining
  - Implementing
- Relationship between care home & wider service providers
- Information, training & support needs for all levels of staff
- Public education for the future care home population and their family member
Thank you for listening

Full report available from
www.eolc-observatory.net/policyevaluation/acp.htm

Good Practice Guide:
£5.99, available from Counsel and Care (www.counselandcare.org.uk)